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## Network monitoring tasks

Network monitoring is fundamental in network performance optimization and security

| Traffic engineering | Anomaly detection (DDoS) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Flow size distribution | Entropy, traffic changes |
| Worm detection | Accounting |
| Superspreaders | Heavy hitters |

## Traditional network monitoring



## Per-packet network monitoring

I visited switch 1 @720ns,
In switch 1, I followed rules 39 and 102. In switch 9...
(1) Which path did

2
Which rules on the switch did my packet follow?


How long did my packet queue at each switch?

Delay: 100ns, 300ns 10200ns...
Who did my packet share the queue with?
Flow 1: src1->dst1,
Flow 2: src2->dst2..

How can we obtain such per-packet information in real time?

## In-band network telemetry (INT) with programmable data plane

Leverage the programmability of switches to insert monitoring information in the packet header along the network path

Use P4 to implement logic on switches to insert the switch ID, the ingress timestamp, the egress time stamp, and queue information in the packet header.

| ETH | IP | TCP | INT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

```
/* INT: add switch id */'
    add_header(int_switch_id_header):
    modify_field(int_switch_id_header.switch_id
        global_config_metadata.switch_id)
/* INT: add ingress timestamp
    * INT: add ingress timesta
    add header (int_ingress_tstamp_header);
    modify_filid (int_ingress_tstamp_header.ingress_tstamp,
    i2e_metadata.ingress_tstamp);
/* INT: add egress timestamp *
    ction int_set_header_2()
    egress_tstamp_header);
    modify_field(int_egress_tstamp_header.egress_tstamp,
        eg_intr_md_from_parser_aux.egress_global_tstamp)
```

    Can we monitor the network
        directly in the data plane?
    
## Learning objectives

What data structures we typically use for network monitoring?

How to perform heavy hitter detection in the programmable data plane?

What data structures are typically used for network monitoring?

## Membership detection

```
130.83.164.11
130.83.165.12
130.83.165.24
```



## Access Control List (ACL)

Decides if an IP address
is in the block list

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { 240.0.0. } 5 & \rightarrow\{\mathrm{P} 1, \mathrm{P} 3\} \\
240.0 .0 .6 & \rightarrow\{\mathrm{P} 1, \mathrm{P} 2\} \\
240.0 .0 .7 & \rightarrow\{\mathrm{P} 2, \mathrm{P} 3\} \\
240.0 .0 .8 & \rightarrow\{\mathrm{P} 1, \mathrm{P} 2, \mathrm{P} 3\}
\end{aligned}
$$



IP Multicast
Decides if a router port should replicate a packet
10.0.2.10 $\rightarrow$ S1
10.0.3.10 $\rightarrow$ S2
10.0.4.10 $\rightarrow$ S3


Load Balancer
Decides if a source IP has been assigned to a server

## Trivial solutions



Can we achieve constant time $O(1)$ search?

## Hashing

Mapping data (of arbitrary size) to fixed-size values (indices here) with a function, sometimes also called scattered storage addressing


Hash table indexed by hash values

## Hashing

Mapping data (of arbitrary size) to fixed-size values (indices here) with a function, sometimes also called scattered storage addressing


Hash table indexed by hash values

## Hash collision

Describes the case where multiple data entries are mapped to the same hash value

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Let } a=0, b=1, c=2, \ldots \\
& \text { Hash function: } h(d a t a)=\left(\sum \text { characters }\right) \text { mod table_size } \\
& \text { table_size: size of the hash table }
\end{aligned}
$$



How can we solve or mitigate this issue?

## Properties of good hash functions

Must return numbers: $\{0, \ldots$, table_size $\}$

Must be deterministic: always returns the same value for the same key

Should be efficiently computable: $O(1)$ time

Should not waste space unnecessarily:

- For every index, there is at least one key that hashes to it
- Load factor lambda = (\# of keys) / table_size

Should minimize collisions: keys are nicely spread out


## Handling hash collisions

Designing a data structure that can resolve hash collisions


Separate chaining


Open addressing (linear/quadratic probing/cuckoo hashing)

## Separate chaining

Creating a list of keys that map to the same hash value


A list of keys maintained in a linked list for each hash value

What are the consequences to the hashing performance?

## Separate chaining

## Creating a list of keys that map to the same hash value



A list of keys maintained in a linked list for each hash value

Lookup time: average case $O(N /$ table_size $)$, worse case $O(N)$
( $N$ is the total number of keys)

## Open addressing



Linear probing (offset = 1, 2, 3,...)


Quadratic probing (offset = 1, 4, 9,...)

## Open addressing: linear probing

Probing with a linear offset: $1,2,3$,...


Upon collision, inset( $x$ ) finds the first slot after $h(x)$ that is empty and inserts $x$ in that slot

Lookup


Keep checking from $h(x)$ until $x$ is found in the hash table; does not exist if hitting an empty slot before $x$ is found

## Handling deletion operations in linear probing



## Handling deletion operations in linear probing

```
Assume h(char) = 1
```



Problem: there are dependencies in locating the different keys in the hash table

## Handling deletion operations in linear probing

Assume h (char) $=1$


Maintain a flag of "deleted" for the emptied slots; adds in lookup time overhead

## Handling deletion operations in linear probing



## Handling deletion operations in linear probing



## Open addressing: quadratic probing

Probing with a quadratic offset: $1,4,9$,...


Upon collision, inset(x) finds the first slot after $h(x)$ that is empty with a quadratic offset and inserts $x$ in that slot


Keep checking from $h(x)$ with a quadratic offset until $x$ is found in the hash table; does not exist if hitting an empty slot before $x$ is found

## Open addressing: cuckoo hashing

Pushing other keys to a different location upon collisions


The name is derived from the behavior of some species of cuckoo, where the cuckoo chick pushes the other eggs or young out of the nest when it hatches.

## Cuckoo hashing

Using two hash functions to generate two possible slots for each key

$$
h 1(f o o)=1, h 2(f o o)=4, h 1(b a r)=1, h 2(b a r)=5
$$



## Cuckoo hashing implementation

Typically using two separate hash tables, each indexed by one hash function

$$
h 1(f o o)=1, h 2(f o o)=4, h 1(a s)=0, h 2(a s)=4, h 1(b a r)=1, h 2(b a r)=5
$$



## Cuckoo hashing operations

## Insertion takes more time than lookup and deletion

$h 1(f o o)=1, h 2(f o o)=4, h 1(a s)=0, h 2(a s)=4, h 1(b a r)=1, h 2(b a r)=5, h 1(c h a r)=0, h 2(c h a r)=2$


Insertion time worse case $O(N)$, lookup time $O(1)$, deletion time $O(1)$

## Membership determination with hashing

Assume we do not have enough space to store all the keys, but we want to answer membership determination queries


Set the binary indicator to 1 at insertion; return true if the binary indicator is 1 at lookup.

## False positive rate analysis

## Assume we have in total $\mathbf{N}$ keys and we use a hash table of M slots

| Probability of a key mapped into a particular slot: 1/M | Probabilit not mapp particular |  | of a slot to nserting $N$ /M)^N | False positive rate <br> (FPR): 1-(1-1/M)^N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# of keys | \# of slots | FPR |  |
|  | 1000 | 10,000 | 9.5\% |  |
|  | 1000 | 100,000 | 1\% |  |

## Bloom filter

Typically using multiple hash functions to lower collision rate


## Bloom filter: insertion and lookup

Setting the binary indicators corresponding to the hash values from the input to 1 if 0


Can we delete a key from the Bloom filter?

## Bloom filter: insertion and lookup

Setting the binary indicators corresponding to the hash values from the input to 1 if 0


A basic Bloom filter does not support deletion since the indicators may be shared by other keys.

## False positive rate analysis

## Assume we have $N$ keys and we use a Bloom filter of $M$ slots with $K$ hash functions

| Probability of a key mapped into a particular slot: 1/M | Probability of a key not mapped into a particular slot: 1-1/M | Probability of a slot to be 0 after inserting $N$ keys each with K hashes: $(1-1 / \mathrm{M}) \mathrm{KN}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


| \# of keys | \# of slots | \# of hash functions | FPR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1000 | 10,000 | 7 | $0.82 \%$ |
| 1000 | 100,000 | 7 | $\approx 0 \%$ |

Consumes almost 10x less space than the single-hash case, but requires slightly more computation for the operations.

How to efficiently count the occurrences for a large set of elements?

## Example: heavy hitter detection

Detecting the top-K flows (in terms of traffic volume, \#packets) that have passed through a given router

A flow is defined by a 5-tuple: <src_ip, dst_ip, src_port, dst_port, protocol>


1000s of flows

Routers are resource-limited, so creating counters for each separate flow is not scalable.

## Counting Bloom filter

## Extension to Bloom filter that can count the occurrences of keys



Increment the counters corresponding to the hash values

Hash value Counter


Lookup the counters corresponding to the hash values with the minimum count

## Counting Bloom filter

## Extension to Bloom filter that can count the occurrences of keys



## Count-min sketch

## A slight improvement to the counting Bloom filter



Three hash functions are performed, each mapped to an array of counters (hash tables).

## Count-min sketch

Incrementing the counters for the computed hash values


## Count-min sketch

How to read the count from the count-min sketch?

Perform the same hash functions on


## Count-min sketch

How to read the count from the count-min sketch?


# How to perform heavy hitter detection in programmable data plane? 

## Heavy hitters

Network flows that are larger (in number of packets or bytes) than a fraction $t$ of the total packets seen on the link or the top $k$ flows by size

Flow is defined by combinations of packet header fields, e.g., 5-tuple (src_ip, dst_ip, src_port, dst_port, protocol).

Flow-1: 1750
Flow-2: 1320
Flow-3: 800
...


Challenge: finer-grained flows $\rightarrow$ larger size and number of keys $\rightarrow$ more bits to represent the key and more entries to track

## Design goals and constraints

Accuracy: false positives (reporting a non-heavy flow as heavy), false negatives (not reporting a heavy flow), error in estimating the sizes of heavy flows

Overhead: total amount of memory for the data structure, the number of matching stages uses in the switch pipeline

## Existing solutions



Packet sampling: use aggressive flow sampling range (1\% or 0.01\%)
$\rightarrow$ low accuracy


Streaming algorithms: use countmin / count sketches $\rightarrow$ does not track flow entities

## Can we simply use $O(k)$ counters?

## Assume we aim to obtain the top-k heavy flows



## The space-saving algorithm

A counter-based algorithm that uses $O(k)$ counters to track $k$ heavy flows

|  |  |  |  | Flow-8 | 122 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Top-5 |  | 1 packet from <br> Flow-7 arrives | Flow-7 | Flow-2 |

## Properties of the space-saving algorithm

|  |  | Property 1: no flow counter in the table is ever underestimated, <br> i.e., $c_{-}$< $<=v a l \_j$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Flow-8 | 122 | 94 | | Property 2: the minimum value in the table val_r is an upper |
| :--- |
| Flow-1 |
| Flow-7 |
| Flow-2 |
| Flow-4 |

## Implementing the space-saving algorithm on switches

| Flow-8 | 122 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Flow-1 | 94 |
| Flow-7 | 73 |
| Flow-2 | 69 |
| Flow-4 | 47 |
| Flow-9 | 46 |
| Flow-3 | 31 |

If the flow has appeared in the table: hash to the flow key and increment the corresponding counter.

If the flow is not contained in the table: find the minimum counter in the table, replace the key with the current flow key, and increment the counter

How to find the minimum counter in the table?

## Recall the RMT architecture



## Implementation challenges

| Flow-8 | 122 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Flow-1 | 94 |
| Flow-7 | 73 |
| Flow-2 | 69 |
| Flow-4 | 47 |

If the flow has appeared in the table: hash to the flow key and increment the corresponding counter.

If the flow is not contained in the table: find the minimum counter in the table, replace the key with the current flow key, and increment the counter

Sorted linked list or priority queue $\rightarrow$ hard to maintain on switches

Read $k$ locations, and write back to one location $\rightarrow$ multiple memory access

## Optimization with sampling



If the flow key appears in one of the hashed locations, increment the corresponding counter.


Otherwise, choose the smallest counter among the d positions, and replace the
key and increment the counter.

Number of memory reads: d, number of memory writes: 1

## Optimization with multi-stages

## Split the counter table into d stages and read only once per stage

First pass through all stages to identify the minimum counter


Second pass to update the counter with the minimum count

Second pass $\rightarrow$ packet recirculation for every packet $\rightarrow$ the bandwidth is halved

## HashPipe: feed-forward packet processing

Two key ideas: tracking a rolling minimum and always inserting in the first stage

|  | Stage 1 | Stage 2 | Stage 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Packet with key K | $(\mathrm{A}, 5)$ | $(\mathrm{E}, 3)$ | $(\mathrm{I}, 4)$ |
|  | $(\mathrm{B}, 4)$ | $(\mathrm{F}, 15)$ | $(\mathrm{J}, 3)$ |
|  | $(\mathrm{C}, 6)$ | $(\mathrm{G}, 25)$ | $(\mathrm{L}, 10)$ |
|  | $(\mathrm{D}, 10)$ | $(\mathrm{H}, 100)$ | $(\mathrm{M}, 9)$ |

First stage: if key K is a match (or the slot is empty), increment the counter and finish processing; otherwise, always insert the new key with count 1 at the hashed location and carry the old one with the metadata to the next stage

Always insert in the first stage ensures that some duplicate keys can be merged in later stages

## HashPipe: feed-forward packet processing

Two key ideas: tracking a rolling minimum and always inserting in the first stage


Later stages: compare the counter at the hashed position (with the key from the metadata) and the counter from the metadata, replace the key-counter in the table if the one carried in the metadata is larger

## HashPipe: feed-forward packet processing

Two key ideas: tracking a rolling minimum and always inserting in the first stage


Later stages: compare the counter at the hashed position (with the key from the metadata) and the counter from the metadata, replace the key-counter in the table if the one carried in the metadata is larger

## HashPipe: feed-forward packet processing

Two key ideas: tracking a rolling minimum and always inserting in the first stage

| Stage 1 | Stage 2 | Stage 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(A, 5)$ | $(B, 4)$ | $(I, 4)$ |
| $($ K, 1) | $(F, 15)$ | $(J, 3)$ |
| $(C, 6)$ | $(G, 25)$ | $($ L, 10 $)$ |
| $(D, 10)$ | $(H, 100)$ | $(M, 9)$ |

Last stage: evict a relatively small flow

## HashPipe implemented in P4

All required functionalities are supported in P4
Register arrays


## Sketch-based network monitoring

## Heavy-Hitter Detection Entirely in the Data Plane

| Vibhaalakshmi |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sivaraman | Srinivas Narayana <br> MIT CSALL | Ori Rottenstreich <br> Princeton Univesity |

Jennifer Rexford
Princeton University ABSTRACT Identifying the "heavy hitter" flows or flows with large applications e.g, flow-size aware routing. Dos deteceapplications e.f., thow-size aware rovting, Dos detec
tion and trafic engineering. However, measurement
in the date in the data plane is constrained by the need for line-
rate processing (at $10-100 \mathrm{G} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{s}$ and limited memory in switching hardware. We propose Hashlipe, a heary hitter detection algorithm using emergging programmable
data planes. HashPipe implements apipeline of hash data planes. HashPipe implements a pipeline of hash
tables which retain counters for heary flows while evicting lighter flows over time. We prototype HashPipip in P4
and evaluate it with packet trace from an 1 SP packone and evaluate et with packet traces from an 1 SP backbone over 400.000 flowss), we find that Hashlipe identifies $55 \%$ of the 300 heaviest flows with less than soKB of $95 \%$ of the
memory.
 withes in he network all the time, to respond quickly ho shont-term traffic varations Can packets belonging to theay flows be identified as the packets are processed in the switch, , op hat switches may treat them specallly?
Existing approachesto 0 monitoring heary items make hard to aphiever reasonable accuracy at acceptatle
verheads (52.2). While packet sampling in the form of
 vidth overheads of processing sampled packets in sofWare make it inf casible to sample at sufficiently high
rates (sampling just 1 in 1000 packets is common in practice $[3,4]$. An alternative is to use sketches, eg.
$[14,24,25,4]$. that hash and count all packets in switch hardware. However, these yssems incura alarge memory
overhead to retrive the heavy hitters - idelly we wish

## Sketch to Rule Them All:

 Rethinking Network Flow Monitoring with UnivMonZaoxing Liut, Antonis Manousis: Gregory Vorsangen, Yyas Sekerar. Vladidint Bravermant

| Stract | 1 Introduction |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Nelemer mangeseres is |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | Huss |
|  |  |
| menerse many peplica | Tele |
| parale io cusom asparimess |  |
|  |  |
|  | woinalal |
|  |  |
| 边 |  |
| of bui |  |
| ars |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

SketchLib: Enabling Efficient Sketch-based Monitoring on Programmable Switches



## Summary



Network monitoring: typical data structures for network monitoring, heavy
hitter detection in programmable data plane

# Next time: network function virtualization 

Routing $\quad$ Firewall


[^0]
## Lab5 introduction



## Lab5 introduction



Three levels: (1) Ethernet frames, (2) UDP sockets, (3) UDP sockets with reliability

## Call for SHKs (TAs and RAs)

## SHKs for teaching

- WS24/25: Computer Networks
- SS25: Advanced Networked Systems
- One year contract, 6.5 hours per week
- Tasks: handling exercises + Q\&A
- Requirements
- Interests in networking
- Good grades in CN and ANS
- Reliable


## SHKs for research

- Both short-term and long-term projects
- Topics
- In-network aggregation for ML
- TinyML: LLM on tiny devices
- Tasks
- Lab testbed setup
- Experiments
- Your own ideas/research


[^0]:    How to implement network functions in software running on commodity servers?

