

by Felix Pauck, Patrick Steffens

Outline

• Introduction

• Solitaire

- Simplified Solitaire
- Execution Scenario

Consistency Models

- $\circ \quad \text{CC Model} \\$
- CCI Model

• OT Algorithm

• OT Functions

• Collaborative Games

Introduction

Google Docs

- Collaborative real-time editor
- Effects of operation instantly available

C π (S www.google.com/chrome	57 🔦
Martti Gmail Calendar Documents Photos Sites Web More -	Martti Kuusanmäki 💿 Share 👯 🛠
Fishood 🏠	Comments -
File Edit View Insert Format Tools Table Help All changes saved	 1 other viewer
Or の白・型 Normalitext に Anal に 11pt に B Z U A・M・ 00 団 日日日田 第三日日 ロー	
a	7
T	Loho Coggin 12:65 AM Mar 28 How much do you love Google Deck" Enough to write a blog about it?
	Mariana McCready 12.54 AM Nor 28
Google docs	How much do I love Google Docs?! So much, I already wrote the blog — and its follow up about collabo- ration with Geogle Docs!
	John Coggin
	IConstaDore lock (a)

Introduction

Google Docs

- Collaborative real-time editor
- Effects of operation instantly available
- Conflicts can occur
- Solution: **Operational Transformation**



Introduction

Google Docs

- Collaborative real-time editor
- Effects of operation instantly available

<complex-block>

Image: Control channes:

<td

- Conflicts can occur
- Solution: **Operational Transformation**





































Consistency Models

• CC Model

Causality (Precedence): All dependent operations are executed in the same order at all sites.

Consistency Models

• CC Model

Causality (Precedence): All dependent operations are executed in the same order at all sites.



Consistency Models

• CC Model

Causality (Precedence): All dependent operations are executed in the same order at all sites.



Consistency Models

• CC Model

Causality (Precedence): All dependent operations are executed in the same order at all sites.

Convergence: The configuration reached after the execution of a set of operations is the same at all sites.



$O_1 \rightarrow O_2$

Consistency Models

• CC Model

Causality (Precedence): All dependent operations are executed in the same order at all sites.

Convergence: The configuration reached after the execution of a set of operations is the same at all sites.



 $O_1 \rightarrow O_2$

Consistency Models

• CC Model

Causality (Precedence): All dependent operations are executed in the same order at all sites.



Consistency Models

• CC Model

Causality (Precedence): All dependent operations are executed in the same order at all sites.





Consistency Models

• CC Model

Causality (Precedence): All dependent operations are executed in the same order at all sites.

- Patrick moved the Queen of Clubs to S1
- Felix moves the Jack of Hearts to S₅



Consistency Models

• CC Model

Causality (Precedence): All dependent operations are executed in the same order at all sites.

- Patrick moved the Queen of Clubs to S1
- Felix moves the Jack of Hearts to S₅







Consistency Models

• **CC** Model (Extension of CC Model)

Intention Preservation: The Intention of any executed operation is always the same

- Patrick moved the Queen of Clubs to S1
- Felix moves the Jack of Hearts to S₅





Consistency Models

• **CC** Model (Extension of CC Model)

Intention Preservation: The Intention of any executed operation is always the same

- Patrick moved the Queen of Clubs to S1
- Felix moves the Jack of Hearts to S₅





Consistency Models

• **CC** Model (Extension of CC Model)

Intention Preservation: The Intention of any executed operation is always the same

- Patrick moved the Queen of Clubs to S1
- Felix moves the Jack of Hearts to Sx





OT Algorithm

OT Algorithm





OT Algorithm


























OT Algorithm





Simplified Solitaire







	move	next
move	T _{move, move}	T _{move, next}
next	T _{next, move}	T _{next, next}









• Function call:

```
T_{next,move} (next(), move(J\forall, B, S5))
```





• Function call:

```
T_{next,move} (next(), move(JV, B, S5))
```

• Function definition:

Tnext_move(next(), move(card, from, to)):
 if(from = B)
 return NOP





• Function definition:

Function call:

Tnext_move(next(), move(card, from, to)):
 if(from = B)
 return NOP



Function call:





	move	next
move	T _{move, move}	T _{move, next}
next	T _{next, move}	T _{next, next}

• Function call:

• Function definition:













OT Algorithm





E ⁰⁰ E





Collaborative Games

Collaborative games VS Cooperative games Many goals each solved by one







e ²⁰ e

Operational Transformation and its Relevance in Games





e 😐 e

Operational Transformation and its Relevance in Games



e 👛 🖉

Operational Transformation and its Relevance in Games



e - e

Operational Transformation and its Relevance in Games





e 😐 🦉

Operational Transformation and its Relevance in Games













\backslash	Single-Object	Multi-Object
3ased No	O Pokemon, FPS, Action and Racing Games,	
Turn-I Yes	- Chess, Monopoly, Worms,	0 Scrabble, Rummy,



Collaborative Games

\backslash	Single-Object	Multi-Object
Based	O Pokemon, FPS, Action and Racing Games,	
Turn-I Yes	- Chess, Monopoly, Worms,	0 Scrabble, Rummy,



Only collaboratively playable during **1** of X phases

\backslash	Single-Object	Multi-Object
Based No	0 Pokemon, FPS, Action and Racing Games,	+ Solitaire, Puzzles, Strategy and Tycoon Games,
Turn-I Yes	- Chess, Monopoly, Worms,	0 Scrabble, Rummy,



Conclusion

• **OT in games** (Example: Simplified Solitaire)



Conclusion

OT in games (Example: Simplified Solitaire)
 Feasible


Operational Transformation and its Relevance in Games

Conclusion

- OT in games (Example: Simplified Solitaire)
 Feasible
- Requires **consistency model**



Operational Transformation and its Relevance in Games

Conclusion

- OT in games (Example: Simplified Solitaire)
 Feasible
- Requires consistency model





• Realized by **OT Algorithm**

Operational Transformation and its Relevance in Games

Conclusion

- OT in games (Example: Simplified Solitaire)
 Feasible
- Requires consistency model





• Realized by **OT Algorithm**



• New definition of:

Collaborative games

References

C. A. Ellis and S. J. Gibbs, "Concurrency control in groupware systems," in ACM SIGMOD Record, vol. 18, pp. 399–407, ACM, 1989.

L. Lamport, "Time, clocks, and the ordering of events in a distributed system," Communications of the ACM, vol. 21, no. 7, pp. 558–565, 1978.

D. Li and R. Li, "Preserving operation effects relation in group editors," in Proceedings of the 2004 ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work (CSCW), pp. 457–466, ACM, 2004.

R. Li and D. Li, "A new operational transformation framework for real-time group editors," IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 307–319, 2007.

R. Li and D. Li, "Commutativity-based concurrency control in groupware," in 2005 International Conference on Collaborative Computing: Networking, Applications and Worksharing, p. 10ff., 2005.

C. Sun, Y. Zhang, X. Jia, and Y. Yang, "A generic operation transformation scheme for consistency maintenance in real-time cooperative editing systems," in Proceedings of the international ACM SIGGROUP conference on Supporting group work: the integration challenge, pp. 425–434, ACM, 1997.

C. Sun, X. Jia, Y. Zhang, Y. Yang, and D. Chen, "Achieving convergence, causality preservation, and intention preservation in real-time cooperative editing systems," ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction (TOCHI), vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 63–108, 1998.

D. N.-R. Matthias Ressel and R. Gunzenhuser, "An integrating, transformation-oriented approach to concurrency control and undo in group editors.," in Proceedings of the 1996 ACM conference on Computersupported cooperative work, pp. 288–297, ACM, 1996.

S. Kumawat and A. Khuneta, "A survey on operational transformation algorithms: Challenges, issues and achievements," International Journal of Computer Applications, vol. 3, no. 12, pp. 30–38, 2010.

J. P. Zagal, J. Rick, and I. Hsi, "Collaborative games: Lessons learned from board games," Simul. Gaming, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 24–40, 2006.